1 2 3 4 5 6 7	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORETTA A. WEST Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 149294 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2107 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2010 - 464 Case No.
13	7494 Carrollton Place Corona, CA 92880
14	Registered Nurse License No. 711269 A C C U S A T I O N
15	Respondent.
16	respondent
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
20	official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Departmen
21	of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about August 27, 2007, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered
23	Nurse License Number 711269 to Rosemarie San Luis Guillen (Respondent). The Registered
24	Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
25	will expire on March 31, 2011, unless renewed.
26	THE ORPHO OF HEREIN ST, BOTT, MINOR TOHOR OF
27	
28	

2.7

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Section 2811(b) of the Code provides that each license not renewed shall expire but may within eight years be reinstated upon payment of fees and submission of proof of the applicant's qualifications as may be required by the Board.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS

6. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (f) Conviction of a felony or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.
- Section 2765 provides:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registered nurse is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article....

8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

10. Section 810 of the Code states:

- (a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional activities:
- (2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim.
- (d) As used in this section, health care professional means any person licensed or certified pursuant to this division, or licensed pursuant to the Osteopathic Initiative Act, or the Chiropractic Initiative Act.

11. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(December 7, 2009 Conviction for Health Care Fraud)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 2761(f) for conviction of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a registered nurse. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about December 7, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *United States of America v. Evelyn Tisoy, et al.*, in United States District Court for the Central District of California, case no. CR 09- 00609-GAF-36, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for health care fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1347 as charged in Count 35 of the Indictment.
- b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to three years probation, to pay to the United States a special assessment of \$100 and to pay restitution in the total amount of \$17,736.49 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction were that the Grand Jury charged a number of defendants, including Respondent, with participating in a scheme to defraud a health care benefit program, namely Medi-Cal. According to the Indictment, the defendants would arrange to provide private duty nursing services to Medi-Cal beneficiaries, including children and youths who suffered from disabling conditions such as cerebral palsy or developmental delay and who needed professional nursing assistance at home and at school. The defendants would provide unlicensed nurses to visit the Medi-Cal beneficiaries at home and at school and to provide nursing services, including administering medications, adjusting ventilators, and feeding through gastronomy tubes.
- d. The Indictment charges that the defendants would hire unlicensed nurses and others to provide these services, knowing that the unlicensed nurses and others were not licensed in California and that some of them had little or no medical training or background. The Indictment further charges that the defendants used fraudulent methods to conceal the fact that the nurses

were unlicensed, including lying to parents or guardians of the disabled Medi-Cal beneficiaries about the status of the unlicensed nurses and falsifying medical records. Per the Indictment, the defendants submitted claims to Medi-Cal that falsely represented that services performed by the unlicensed nurses had been performed by actual licensed LVNs. Specifically, the Indictment charges that on or about April 30, 2007, Respondent submitted and caused to be submitted to Medi-Cal, Claim No. 7120197404904 for alleged LVN services provided to a patient on December 26, 2006.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Healthcare Fraud)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761(a) in that Respondent committed health care fraud, as is more fully set forth in paragraph 15, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False or Fraudulent Claims-Healthcare Fraud)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 810(a)(2) in that Respondent knowingly prepared, made, or subscribed writing(s), with the intent to present or use them, or to allow them to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim(s) as is more fully set forth in paragraph 15, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 711269, issued to Rosemarie San Luis Guillen;
- 2. Ordering Rosemarie S. Guillen to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3 .	DATED: 325/10 Louise R. Louley
4	LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN
5	Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6	State of California Complainant
7	
8	SD2010800317 80440627.doc
9	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	